



# Modern Militiaman

## *A Journal of the Modern Resistance Movement*

Issue #11, April 19, 1999

**Purpose and Dedication:** This electronic and limited print newsletter is dedicated to the modern militiamen and women of the American Resistance Movement. The writers, editors, and contributors of this newsletter have by their talents become leading actors within the overall Patriot movement, be they militiamen, common-law jurists, tax-protesters, Freeman, shortwave talk-show hosts, Libertarians, Conspiracy Theorists or other assorted Rebels with a cause. We are an unruly bunch.

Most of the feeds and articles to this newsletter come off the Internet or electronic mail, which is the Gutenberg device of choice. Far-flung, quick, cheap, and secure, the Internet is a growing web of information which cannot be stopped or effectively censored. While at least one copy of each issue will be printed in order to take advantage of 1st Amendment press protections, thus blanketing the electronic edition, this and every issue is designed to be pulled apart and redesigned for every region, for every portion of the former Sweet Land of Liberty, to be used by Patriots everywhere. The opinions expressed in this newsletter are the opinions only of the authors, nobody else. The result should be freedom, not peace.

This is the 11th issue. *This issue is dedicated to showing how/why the Evil Empire is busily destroying the very foundations of its Imperium, in large part because of its reckless war in the Balkans.*

**Managing Editor** [Martin Lindstedt](mailto:mlindste@clandjop.com) (mlindste@clandjop.com)

**Want an electronic copy of this Issue?** There are some people who cannot afford long-distance Internet access or computer fast enough to go on-line. And this Internet publisher cannot afford to print paper copies of these works and send them to subscribers.

So the easy way to get this message out is for any would-be subscriber to directly access this Internet publisher, by [e-mail](#) or by written letter, and send \$5 asking for this issue or for any other issues and I will fill that order with a 3.5 inch disk mailed back to you. Any excess space left on the floppy will be filled with other writings from [Patrick Henry On-Line](#). A far better deal is to [purchase a CD-ROM holding 620 mb of content directly from this Internet publisher for \\$15.](#)

**Copyright 1999.** Anyone is at liberty to copy this newsletter in whole or in part for non-profit purposes provided they properly attribute copied portions to *Modern Militiaman* and the author(s). People who do make a buck from it are expected to pony up.

.

---

## Table of Contents:

1. [About This Issue](#)
  2. Stakes of the War -- [Martin Lindstedt](#)
  3. [The Balkan Flux](#) -- By Dr. Lothrop Stoddard, circa 1924
  4. [Where Are You, Louis?](#) -- [by William Michael Kemp](#)
  5. [The Kosevo Proving Grounds](#) -- by [Martin Lindstedt](#)
  6. -- [Dr. William Pierce](#)
  7. [A Jew Easter](#) -- by [Jim Floyd](#)
  8. [Waco and Kosevo](#) -- by [Carol Valentine](#)
  9. [Exodus -- The Final Chapter](#) -- by [J.J. Johnson](#)
  10. [The Militia Movement -- From Where I Stand](#) -- [Norm Olson](#)
  11. [How \\*NOT\\* To Do It](#) -- [Himmeler"](#)
- .
- 

## About This Issue:

Managing Editor [Martin Lindstedt](#).

.

---

Back to [Modern Militiaman Issue Index?](#)

Back to [Patrick Henry On-Line](#)

## CHAPTER IX -- THE BALKAN FLUX

THE Balkans are the "Wild East" of Europe. Abode of half-barbarian peoples fired by crude ambitions and cursed by savage blood feuds, the Balkans are a permanent political storm-centre lying like a perpetual thunder-cloud on Europe's southeastern horizon. Here the late war began, and here new wars may well arise. In fact, the most ominous feature of the situation is that, as a result of the late war, Europe's "Wild East" has spread far beyond its former borders. Instead of being confined to the Balkan Peninsula, as it was before 1914, it now stretches over most of east Central Europe, which has been both politically and spiritually "Balkanized."

The Balkan Peninsula is the easternmost of the three great projections which jut out from the continent of Europe southward into the Mediterranean Sea. Much larger than Italy and somewhat larger than Spain, the Balkan Peninsula differs from them in both its shape and its internal structure. To begin with, it is separated from the European land mass, not by definite mountain chains like the Alps and Pyrenees, but by broad rivers and marshy plains. Again, the Balkan Peninsula is neither a plateau like Spain nor a well-defined land like Italy, but is rather an irregular mass of rugged highlands criss-crossed by short mountain ranges which run in every direction and break up the land surface into many disconnected regions.

### 201

Lastly, the Balkan Peninsula is closely connected with both Europe and Asia. Geographically speaking, it is merely the European section of a Eurasian land bridge, divided from the Asiatic section (the peninsula of Asia Minor) by a water rift in places only about a mile wide.

Geographical location and internal structure combine to make the Balkan Peninsula a region of contending forces. A border-land between Europe and Asia, streams of human migration have poured into the Balkans from both continents. Indeed, though geographically part of Europe, the Balkan Peninsula is more open to Asiatic than to European penetration, because its rivers and valleys run eastward or southward while its mountains run in ways which hinder communication with the north and west. Thus turning its back on Europe and looking toward Asia, the Balkan Peninsula has continually invited settlement from Asia, and it is therefore only natural that Asiatic races, religions, and cultures should have invaded the Balkans at various times, while it is equally natural that Europe should have fiercely resisted these Asiatic invasions. Thus fated to be the border-land and battle-ground of two continents, the Balkans have been predestined to chronic turmoil and unrest.

The one thing which might have averted these misfortunes would have been the rise of a strong, stable people which could have welded the Balkans into a political unity and kept out foreign invaders. But that was made almost impossible by the peninsula's internal structure. Broken up

by its mountains into many distinct regions more or less isolated from one another, it was not suited to political unity. The Balkan peoples have, therefore,

## 202

naturally tended to form many separate groups, and every new stream of invasion has tended to concentrate in some particular region instead of spreading widely over the peninsula. That has made the situation steadily more complex by adding new groups sharply marked off in blood, speech, religion, and culture. In the course of time, to be sure, these various factors have spread and blended. But they have done so only partially and very unequally. Strange combinations have resulted; race, language, religion, and culture have become criss-crossed in truly extraordinary fashion. Thus a sort of vicious circle has been set up: instead of evolving toward unity and stability the Balkan Peninsula has become ever more disunited and unstable -- which has made it less able to resist foreign invasions -- which have further increased disunion and instability. The significance of all this can be grasped by a glance at Balkan history.

The earliest inhabitants of the Balkans whom we can identify with reasonable certainty were of Mediterranean stock. They occupied the southern part of the peninsula in very early times, though they seem to have dispossessed still earlier stocks of whom practically nothing is known. It was these slender, dark-complexioned Mediterraneans who were the primitive Greeks, and who created the prehistoric civilizations of Crete and Mycenae. About 3,000 years ago a series of Nordic invasions occurred which changed the situation. These Nordics conquered the southern Balkans and settled down as masters. Homer describes the first results. Homeric Greece was ruled by an upper caste of tall, blond Nordics, the mass of their subjects being small, dark Mediterraneans. Later on a

## 203

partial fusion of the two races produced the "Hellas" of classic times, and created the brilliant civilization which is Hellas' undying glory. However, it is interesting to note how essentially "Balkan" was the situation. The broken character of the country prevented political union. Ancient Greece was divided into many small states inhabited by Mediterraneans and Nordics in varying proportions and differing markedly from one another in temperament and culture. Disunion was, in fact, Hellas' undoing. Classic Greece tore itself to pieces by its domestic quarrels and fell under the rule of its northern neighbors. These neighbors were vigorous tribes of Nordic stock, akin to the Nordic invaders of Greece, who had settled the northern portion of the Balkan Peninsula, and had been welded into a powerful state (Macedon) by a dynasty of able rulers culminating in Alexander the Great. Alexander founded a mighty empire stretching far into Asia, but it broke up with his death, and the Balkans again fell into confusion until conquered by Rome.

Rome gave the Balkans political unity and peace, but when Rome declined, the Balkans were overwhelmed by misfortunes which have continued to the present day. A series of barbarian invasions swept the Balkans from end to



end, destroying classic civilization and wiping out most of the old population. These barbarian invaders were of various racial stocks, some being of European and others of Asiatic blood. Alpine Slavs were the most numerous element, and it is Slav blood which has ever since been the predominant Balkan strain. However, the Slavs formed separate groups, mixed with the older populations and with Asiatic invaders in varying proportions,

#### 204

and therefore formed no cement of political cohesion. Meanwhile, the older population had stood its ground at various points, especially at Constantinople, which became the seat of the so-called Byzantine Empire -- Greek in speech and culture though extending into Asia Minor, and inhabited by a very mixed population. Throughout the Middle Ages the Balkans were torn by complicated struggles between the Byzantines and the various Slav peoples. As the Byzantine Empire declined, the Slav groups built up barbarian "empires" of their own, though they soon broke down into the chronic Balkan turmoil.

Then, about 500 years ago, Byzantines and Slavs were alike overwhelmed by a mighty wave of Asiatic conquest -- the Ottoman Turks. For centuries the Balkan Peninsula lay under Turkish rule. But the Turks never succeeded in giving the Balkans peace or prosperity. On the contrary, they merely introduced new complications and sowed the seeds of future troubles. Turkish domination bore within itself the germs of decay. Most terrible of conquerors, the Turks were the poorest of assimilators. They remained a mere Asiatic army camped on European soil, and never succeeded in impressing either the Mohammedan religion or their Turkish language upon the mass of their Christian subjects. What the Turks did was to degrade and brutalize the Balkan peoples. The Turkish conquest everywhere destroyed the strongest and best elements of the population, who perished on the battlefield or went into exile. The remnants of the upper classes embraced Mohammedanism in order to keep their privileges, and thus became merged with their conquerors.

#### 205

The mass of the population, deprived of its natural leaders and reduced almost to slavery, sunk to the level of an oppressed peasantry significantly called by their Turkish masters "Rayah" -- "cattle." What civilization they had possessed vanished, though memories of better days lived on in legends which glorified the past into a sort of Golden Age and formed the basis of those extravagant national and imperial claims that have so afflicted the Balkans in modern times.

Such was the situation when Turkish power had so crumbled that the Balkan peoples began one after another to regain their lost independence. So artificial had been Ottoman rule that as the Turkish tide receded the old landmarks reappeared above the flood, muddy and damaged by long immersion but substantially the same, and the Balkan peoples resumed their old lives once more.

They *resumed* their old lives. Note that well. It is the key to the whole story. The Balkan peoples are not "young," as we are apt to think. They are very old; in fact, so many Rip Van Winkles aroused from a long sleep with

all their mediaeval racial characteristics and political aspirations practically unchanged. For them the last five centuries have been a dream -- or a nightmare. One thing only do they remember -- their "glorious pasts," and they are each determined that their special past shall live again. But this made inevitable a resumption of the old quarrels before the Turks came, when the Balkan peoples had fought each other for centuries, and during that long period had each gained a short-lived Balkan supremacy. This shows clearly in the rival claims which are to-day put forward. Because a province belonged to

## 206

a certain mediaeval Balkan Empire, it must go to the particular state which to-day bears the same name, and since some districts belonged to all those empires in turn, the rival claims form a veritable Gordian knot which can be cut only by the sharp sword of war. Truly, among the Balkan peoples "a thousand years is but a day!"

All this is somewhat hidden from Western eyes by the fact that the Balkan peoples have acquired a superficial knowledge of Western political ideas, and have learned to clothe their thoughts in Western phrases like "nation" and "race." The Balkan peoples, however, pervert the true meaning of such terms into mere jingo propaganda. The truth of the matter is that these peoples are not yet "nations" in the Western sense; they are, rather, groupings of kindred clans or tribes, with primitive political ideas and with aims handed down from the crude mediaeval past. What each of the Balkan peoples hopes in its heart of hearts is to dominate the whole of the Balkans and eventually to destroy its rivals by "converting" the conquered peoples to its particular language, church, and way of thinking. That is what makes Balkan quarrels so ferocious; each people realizes that its very life may be at stake, and is therefore ready to fight its opponents' imperialistic aspirations to the death.

The primitive character of the Balkan peoples shows not only in their foreign policies but also in their domestic politics. Despite high-sounding constitutions and elaborate parliamentary forms copied from Western models, Balkan politics are crude and backward. Power is usually in the hands of some masterful individual or dominant group which "makes" elections and rules by a combination

## 207

of "strong-arm" methods and bribery. As for the "Opposition," it often refuses to play the parliamentary game, preferring instead to sulk or plot revolution. Under such conditions neither side hesitates to use violence and assassination to gain their respective ends. Fortunately, other aspects of Balkan life have improved faster than its politics. Intellectually and culturally, considerable progress has been made since emancipation from Turkish rule, and an upper class has developed, some of whose members are finely educated, cultured persons with high ideals. As yet, however, such persons are too few in numbers and too far above the popular level to exercise much effect upon political life. The masses are still thinly veneered barbarians, with the virtues and vices common to that stage of human evolution. These primitive folk are capable of

sudden and intense outbursts of boundless fanaticism and savage cruelty unknown, or at least very rare, among more developed peoples.

All this gives the key to the great Balkan upheaval of 1912-1913, which was the climax of a century of struggle against Turkish rule. In 1912 the Christian Balkan states at last succeeded in combining against the hereditary Turkish foe. But no sooner was the Turk defeated than the victors quarrelled fiercely over the spoils. There followed the Second Balkan War -- a ferocious death-grapple which ended in the despoiling and humiliation of Bulgaria, hitherto the leading Balkan state, by the other Balkan peoples. The Treaty of Bucharest which closed the war was an attempt permanently to kill Bulgaria's ambitions by surrounding her with a ring of aggrandized and watchful enemies. To this end the other important

## 208

Balkan states, Serbia, Rumania, and Greece, concluded an anti-Bulgarian league.

The so-called "Peace" of Bucharest was thus no peace. It was merely a whetting of knives. Anticipating a probable next war, all parties began to consolidate their territorial gains by the process known as "extirpation." This process consisted in the rooting out or forcible conversion of hostile minorities, thus attempting to make national lines correspond with political frontiers and to assure the fanatical loyalty of the whole future population within any given state border. The ruthlessness with which these persecutions were conducted scandalized the outside world and enormously envenomed Balkan hatreds. The wretched victims of "extirpation" streamed into their respective motherlands by the hundred thousand, and there sowed broadcast the seeds of fury and revenge. Each Balkan people swore to crush the accursed foe and erect its special greatness upon his ruin.

Such was the poison-gas of unslaked hatreds and gnawing ambitions which inflamed the Balkans at the outbreak of the Great War. In fact, that war began in an attempt of Austria-Hungary to crush the nationalistic aspirations of Serbia to annex its kinsmen who lived under Austrian rule. Once more the Balkans became a battleground, and once more unwise peace treaties sowed the seeds of future strife. Bulgaria, which had joined the Central Empires and shared their defeat, was punished even more severely than she had been after the Balkan Wars. Serbia and Rumania, which had chosen the winning side, were given large slices of disrupted Austria-Hungary and thus expanded beyond the Balkans into

## 209

Central Europe. Greece, which had also joined the Allies, was rewarded with territories both in the Balkans and in Asia Minor. Such were the treaty settlements at the close of the Great War. Yet already the Greek "settlement" has broken down, and few unbiassed observers believe that the other arrangements will last. The truth of the matter is that the Balkans are still in flux and that almost anything may happen. When we come to consider the Balkan states separately we shall see how profoundly unstable conditions are at the present time. Before doing so, however, let us pause to remember that Balkan instability arises, not merely

from superficial matters like badly drawn peace treaties, but even more from fundamental factors like the lay of the land and the nature of its inhabitants. Once again, let us remember that the Balkans have always been a border-land where races, religions, languages, and cultures have met and fought in endless turmoil. The present Balkan peoples are not yet true nations, and they are certainly not races, but rather combinations of widely varied racial elements mixed in different proportions. Alpine Slav blood is the largest single factor in their racial make-up, but it is so intermingled with other strains and so cross-cut by nonracial factors like language, religion, and culture that it forms no real bond of union between the Balkan peoples. Bearing in mind these underlying truths, let us now glance at the several Balkan states as they stand to-day.

Our survey had best begin with Yugoslavia, the enlarged successor of Serbia and to-day the most powerful Balkan state. The name Yugoslavia means "Land of the South Slavs," and symbolizes the political union of the various

## 210

branches of the south Slav stock. The Yugoslavs are descended from tribes of Alpine Slav blood which settled the northwestern Balkans shortly after the fall of the Roman Empire. These tribes were closely related in blood and speech, but the broken character of the regions in which they settled marked them off into groups politically distinct from one another. And presently the physical barriers which separated them were reinforced by barriers of religion and culture. The southern tribes (the ancestors of the Serbs) took their Christianity from Constantinople, and became Greek Orthodox in faith and Byzantine in culture. The tribes living to the northward or along the coast of the Adriatic Sea (the ancestors of the Croats and Slovenes) were converted to Christianity from Rome and took their culture from the European West. The result was that the Serbs looked east while the Croats and Slovenes looked west, neither branch of the Yugoslav stock having much to do with the other.

The Croats and Slovenes soon lost their independence. The Slovenes were subjugated by the Austrian-Germans, the Croats fell under the rule of the Hungarians, while the tribes of the Adriatic coast came under Italian influence exercised by the Venetian Republic. The Serbs remained independent but were divided into several petty states and played a minor part in Balkan history until the latter part of the Middle Ages, when an able chieftain named Stephen Dushan united the Serb states, overran most of the Balkan Peninsula, and built up an "empire." Dushan's empire was, however, short-lived. It fell to pieces after his death and the fragments were soon afterward engulfed by the tide of Turkish conquest.

## 211

Dushan's empire is important only as it forms the basis for modern Serb dreams of Balkan domination. Note that Dushan's empire never included the Croats and Slovenes. It was thus purely a Balkan, not a "Yugoslav," state.

The Turkish conquest not only destroyed the flower of the Serb stock and reduced the remainder to an oppressed peasantry, but also caused a religious split which still

exists. In the highlands of Bosnia-Herzegovina, a mountainous region lying between Serbia proper and the Adriatic, a large part of the population was converted to Islam, and became fanatical Moslems who lost all sense of kinship with their Serb brethren. On the other hand, a few Christian Serbs fled to the inaccessible crags of Montenegro, just south of Bosnia, and there maintained a wild independence which the Turks were never able to break. It was the Montenegrins who for centuries kept alive the old Serb traditions. This was perhaps the chief reason why the Serbs were the first Balkan people to throw off the Turkish yoke, a little over a century ago. Modern Serbia started as a small state with a rude peasant population, but it slowly grew in power and prosperity, although its progress was hindered by the turbulence of its political life. As Serbia grew, she began to dream of her former greatness and to aspire to unite all the Serbs in a single national state. As matters then stood, more than half the Serbs remained under Turkish rule in Bosnia-Herzegovina to the west and in Macedonia to the south. Also, Montenegro remained separate and independent. Furthermore, as time went on, Serb ambitions grew still greater. No longer content with the idea of uniting all the Serbs, Serbian

## 212

nationalists began to dream of including the Croats and Slovenes in a larger south Slav unity. Thus the ideal of "Jugoslavia" was born. But this naturally alarmed Austria-Hungary, whose very existence would be threatened by any such development. Since Serbia was the champion of the Yugoslav idea, Austrian policy aimed at keeping Serbia down. The quarrel gradually became a deadly feud which presently got involved in the general tangle of European politics that preceded the Great War. Serbia was backed by Russia and openly plotted to disrupt Austria-Hungary, and establish Yugoslav unity on its ruins. But Austria-Hungary was backed by Germany and thus felt strong enough to risk crushing Serbia at the first opportunity. Then came the Balkan Wars of 1912-1913. From them Serbia emerged victorious and confident, while Austria grew more alarmed and implacable. In this tense atmosphere the assassination of the Archduke Franz Ferdinand, the heir to the Austrian throne, by Serb nationalists in June, 1914, caused the explosion of the Great War. After a heroic resistance, Serbia was overrun by the Austro-German armies, aided by the Bulgarians, who joined the Austro-Germans to revenge themselves upon the Serbs for Bulgaria's defeat in the Balkan Wars. However, the victory of Serbia's allies, the Western Powers, not only restored her independence, but also realized her dream of Yugoslav unity. The peace treaties of 1919 erected the present "Jugoslavia," a powerful state, with an area of 96,000 square miles and a population of 12,000,000.

At first sight Jugoslavia looks strong and assured of a prosperous future. In reality, Jugoslavia is rent by grave

## 213

internal quarrels and is surrounded by hostile neighbors. Jugoslavia is to-day a state, but she is as yet very far from being a nation. Brought suddenly together after ages of separation and divergent development, the various branches of the south-Slav stock do not fuse. So long as



they were politically divided they could sympathize with one another. Now that they all live in the same house they see mutual differences rather than common likenesses. And there are so many varieties of Yugoslavs! Out of Yugoslavia's 12,000,000 population only about 4,500,000 are true Serbs, who dominate the situation and "run" Yugoslavia. But all the other Yugoslavs are more or less opposed to this state of things. The 500,000 Montenegrins object to the way in which their heroic individuality has been arbitrarily merged with the Serbs. The 800,000 Mohammedans of Bosnia and adjacent regions, though Serbs in blood, are sullen and rebellious, their sympathies being with the Turks rather than with their Slav kinsmen. As for the 5,500,000 Croats, Slovenes, and Dalmatians, Roman Catholic in religion and West European in culture, they look down on their Balkan relatives as semi-barbarous heretics and object strenuously to being ruled by Serbs, whom they consider their inferiors. Lastly, there are nearly 1,000,000 Bulgarians, Magyars, and Rumanians to whom the very word "Yugoslavia" is anathema.

The fact is that, as things now stand, the term "Yugoslavia" is a misnomer. The new state should be called "Greater Serbia." It is the Serbs who to-day run the country -- and they run it with a heavy hand. A rough, primitive folk, the Serbs have got where they are by fighting

#### 214

and they think almost solely in terms of force. By a series of successful wars they have built up a strong, unified state. However, they know that this means a ring of hostile neighbors. Accordingly, when the other Yugoslavs talk of turning the new state into a Federation with wide local rights for the various elements, the Serbs denounce such talk as treason. Serb leaders will tell you frankly that they intend to go on governing with the strong hand until they have "made" the other elements into "good Yugoslavs." But the other elements promptly answer that this merely means "good Serbs," and they go on to say that they won't be made into Serbs and that they do not intend to tolerate the rough, tactless Serb soldiers and officials who have been set over them. And this is causing grave difficulties. Already parliamentary government has broken down, the Serbs ruling by a veiled dictatorship, with the Croats and Slovenes suddenly rebellious and with Montenegro and Macedonia full of brigandage and unrest. This cannot go on indefinitely. It seems pretty clear that Yugoslavia must ultimately become a Federal state if it is to endure. Unless the Serbs realize this, the other elements will plot secession -- and Yugoslavia will fly to pieces. Meanwhile, Yugoslavia's neighbors watch and wait. Hitherto the chief thing that has kept the Serb-Croat quarrel within bounds has been their common hatred of Italy, which opposed Yugoslav aspirations. If war had resulted, the Yugoslavs might have developed a real national consciousness in the struggle against a foreign foe. But Italy has now compromised her differences with Yugoslavia, so foreign pressure has been relaxed and domestic quarrels flare up unchecked.

#### 215

Of course, the Yugoslavs may come to an understanding with one another and become a true nation. At present, however, the prospects look rather dubious.



From Yugoslavia let us turn to Bulgaria. Here we find a very different situation. If Yugoslavia is suffering from victory, Bulgaria is suffering from defeat. Yet defeat has not quenched hope. Toughest and stubbornest of all the Balkan peoples, the Bulgars nurse their wounds and await better days.

This attitude springs from their inheritance. Racially the Bulgars are Alpine Slavs crossed with Asiatic Finnish or Turkish blood. That cross has produced a stock noted for patient determination and dogged energy. The Bulgars are great workers -- and they can work together. This capacity for "team-play" is a great advantage to the Bulgars, because the other Balkan peoples are so much more prone to internal quarrels. Despite their recent defeats and present misfortunes, the Bulgars may yet outstrip their rivals. It is well to remember their favorite proverb: "The Bulgar on his ox-cart pursues the hare -- and overtakes it !"

Bulgaria has had a checkered past. During the Middle Ages the Bulgars played a leading rble in the Balkans. For centuries they and the Byzantine Greeks fought fiercely for Balkan supremacy. Twice the Bulgars built up powerful "empires," though these presently collapsed into the chronic Balkan turmoil. The Turkish conquest bore harder upon the Bulgars than upon any other Balkan people. So thoroughly were they crushed that less than fifty years ago the Bulgars were an obscure population

## 216

of wretched serfs, exploited to the limit of human endurance, whom the world had so completely forgotten that many Western travellers passed through their land without becoming aware of their existence.

The victorious war which Russia waged against Turkey in 1877 freed most of the Bulgars from the Turkish yoke and set up a Bulgarian state. This new state developed with extraordinary rapidity. Although the Serbs and Greeks had been liberated much earlier, Bulgaria soon passed them both in national progress and became the leading Balkan state. Awakening from their long slumber, the Bulgars recalled their past and determined on a yet greater future. The first step in their programme was the political unity of the whole Bulgarian stock. A large fraction of the Bulgarian people remained under Turkish rule in Macedonia, the central region of the Balkans. Once possessed of Macedonia, the resulting Big Bulgaria would be far and away the most powerful Balkan state. Thereafter Bulgaria might hope to subjugate the other Balkan peoples and expel the Turks from Constantinople, founding a true Bulgarian Empire which would dominate the Near East.

That was Bulgaria's ideal, evolved in the very first years of its political life. Such an ideal appeared absurd for a little peasant state just freed from Turkish servitude. But, if Bulgaria's dreams were great, her waking hours were long, and they were all given up to strenuous endeavor and rigid self-denial. These high hopes became part of the developing national consciousness. They braced every Bulgar to gigantic efforts. The way Bulgaria pinched and taxed herself for nearly forty years

to create proportionately the greatest war machine in the world showed this folk to be possessed of a sombre power and ferocious energy which made the goal seem less impracticable.

At last Bulgaria's hour seemed to have come. In the year 1912 Bulgaria, Serbia, and Greece combined against the Turks, who were defeated and driven to the walls of Constantinople. The Balkans were free from Turkish rule. Unhappily, this was merely the beginning of fresh troubles. The victors promptly quarrelled over the spoils -- particularly over Macedonia. Bulgaria had gone to war with Turkey for Macedonia and claimed the greater part of it as her reward. But this Greece and Serbia refused. Macedonia has, in fact, been for ages an apple of discord. In the first place, it is the geographical and strategical heart of the Balkans, so that whoever possesses it automatically gains something like Balkan supremacy. In the second place, it is a racial crossroads where all the Balkan stocks meet. The Macedonians are an extraordinarily mixed population, race lines being blurred even more than in other parts of the Balkans. Yet this does not prevent the various Balkan peoples from concocting elaborate "statistics" and other propagandist arguments "proving" the Macedonians to be the blood-brothers of each and every one of them. The tangle of rival claims is thus inextricable. As for the Macedonians themselves, the majority seem to feel themselves Bulgarians, though there are strong Serb- and Greek-feeling minorities, not to mention minor elements like Albanians, Rumanians, Turks, Jews, and Gypsies. The fierce wrangle which broke out among the Balkan states after their victory over

## 218

Turkey culminated in a ferocious war in which Bulgaria was defeated. Serbia and Greece divided Macedonia between them and promptly proceeded to expel or forcibly convert the Bulgar-feeling inhabitants. Bulgaria sat by in helpless rage until the Great War gave her a chance for revenge. But Bulgaria again lost, and by the peace treaties was left disarmed before her fully armed neighbors.

Owing to these misfortunes Bulgaria has sunk from her former position of the most powerful Balkan state to a place far below Serbia, Greece, and Rumania -- all of whom to-day vastly outstrip Bulgaria in area and population. Bulgaria now possesses only 40,000 square miles of territory and less than 5,000,000 inhabitants. Yet Bulgaria remains a factor to be reckoned with. Certainly, Bulgaria seems to-day to be the most solid of the Balkan states. Her very defeats have left her with a thoroughly Bulgarian population, free from those rebellious minorities which are such dangerous internal weaknesses to her swollen neighbors. Meanwhile the Bulgarian peasant works as hard as ever, and the war losses are being repaired. Who can tell what opportunity may come to Bulgaria through some sudden shift in the strange kaleidoscope of Balkan politics? For, in the Balkans, the one thing certain is -- uncertainty!

The story of Greece is perhaps the most dramatic in world history. No other people has probably ever passed through such extremes of glory and decline. Grave though Greece's situation is to-day, it should not be forgotten that the Greek people has endured even greater disasters

in the past -- yet has survived. And it is this which lends the Greeks faith in their future.

Modern Greece draws its inspiration from two main sources: Ancient Hellas and the Mediaeval Byzantine Empire. This latter source is often overlooked by Western observers, but to Greek minds it is the more important. The ties between Modern Greece and Ancient Hellas are dim and remote. The ties with Mediaeval Byzantium, on the contrary, are close and unbroken. Modern Greece may feel itself to be the spiritual heir of Hellas, but it knows itself to be the political heir of Byzantium, and a restoration of the Byzantine Empire is at once the keynote of Greek patriotism and the basis of Greek politics. Greece's political goal is expressed in a phrase: "The Great Idea." Herein Greek aims differ markedly from those of the other Balkan peoples. The aspirations of the other Balkan peoples never stray much beyond the boundaries of the peninsula. The Greek dream, however, is truly imperial in its far-flung horizons. The Great Idea is a revival of Mediaeval Byzantium, incarnated in a new Greek Empire seated at Constantinople, which shall embrace both the Balkans and Asia Minor and shall win back the whole Near East to Hellenism.

At first sight the Great Idea may seem mere wild fancy, but when we look closer we see that it is a logical outgrowth of Greece's historic past. When Ancient Hellas declined and finally fell under Roman rule, it did not lose its identity. The Hellenic stock, to be sure, greatly altered, most of the Nordic strains that had formed the ruling class during Hellas' great days dying out, while the Mediterranean strains which survived became considerably

## 220

mixed with other racial elements. Nevertheless, the Greek language and Greek culture not only maintained themselves in Greece itself but also spread over both the Balkans and Asia Minor, so that when the Roman Empire collapsed in Western Europe and transferred its capital to "Constantinople" (the new name given by the Roman Emperor Constantine to the Greek city of Byzantium) it came into a Greek atmosphere, lost its Latin character, and was transformed into the Greek "Byzantine Empire." With Western Europe sunk in the turmoil of the Dark Ages, the Byzantine Empire became the centre of European civilization. It also became the seat of Eastern Christendom, for about this time Christianity split in twain, the West following Rome while the East adhered to the "Orthodox" Church, which was thoroughly Greek in character. It was the Orthodox Church which converted the Slav invaders of the Balkans, and however bitterly the Slavs fought the Byzantine Empire they nevertheless acquired a Byzantine Greek religious and cultural stamp which could not be effaced. Indeed, this Greek stamp became even more pronounced after the Turkish conquest. To the Turks all their Christian subjects looked very much alike. They therefore considered the Byzantine Greeks as the natural spokesmen for the Christian elements, and the Balkan Slavs welcomed this arrangement since the Greeks were best fitted to stand between them and their Turkish masters. Down to the reawakening of the Balkan peoples about a century ago, religion rather than nationality was the test of men's allegiance, so the Balkan peoples thought of themselves as "Greek Orthodox" and very little else.

We are now in a position to understand the peculiar nature of the Greek "Great Idea," and to realize how it differs from the aspirations of the other Balkan peoples. Those aspirations are all founded on a more or less tribal nationalism. The Great Idea, on the other hand, is based on a religious imperialism. In fact, the Great Idea is essentially cosmopolitan, and is fundamentally opposed to the ideas of both nationality and race. The Greeks have never been able really to adjust themselves to the modern nationalist philosophy. In their heart of hearts they still believe that the Christian inhabitants of both the Balkans and Asia Minor should be one people, spiritually united in the Greek Orthodox Church and politically united in a Greek Empire. Certainly the Greek ideal has succeeded in binding together very different racial elements. The present "Greek" populations scattered so widely over the Balkans and Asia Minor are of many different stocks. Yet they are all ardent supporters of the Great Idea.

When the Greeks revolted against the Turks a century ago they hoped for a general rising of all the Christian elements. In fact, the first outbreak took place, not in Greece itself, but far to the northward in what is now Rumania, which had long been governed by Byzantine Greeks appointed by the Turkish Sultans, and where the educated upper class was then strongly Greek in feeling. However, the revolutionists were quickly disillusioned. The other Balkan peoples, already obscurely stirring to nationalist ideas, refused to move, and the Turks were thus able to concentrate against the Greeks, who were massacred wholesale, and deprived of the privileged position

that they had heretofore enjoyed. After years of bloody fighting, Western Europe intervened and set up an independent Greek state, but this state was so small and weak that in Greek eyes it was little more than a mockery of their hopes. The majority of the Greeks were left outside its frontiers, mainly under Turkish rule.

Under these circumstances the larger aspects of the Great Idea fell into the background. The Greeks had to confine their efforts mainly to building up their new state as a nucleus for later efforts. This was a slow and difficult task. Until the beginning of the present century Greece played a minor role in Balkan affairs. Her first real chance came when the Balkan states made their alliance against the Turk in the year 1912. From both the Balkan Wars which ensued Greece came out the big winner. With a minimum of loss she doubled her territory and population, her chief conquest being southern Macedonia with its great port-city of Salonika -- next to Constantinople the richest prize in the Balkans. These remarkable successes fired the Greeks with wild enthusiasm and brought the Great Idea once more to the front. Then came the Great War, which brought to Greece an extraordinary series of successes and failures. For a moment it looked as though the Great Idea was to be realized. The Peace Conference seriously considered giving Greece Constantinople, and, though this was finally denied her, Greece was given a large slice of Asia Minor including the great port-city of Smyrna. At that dramatic hour Greece

appeared to have become the leading state not only of the Balkans but of the whole Near East. The peace treaties had virtually condemned Turkey to death and, with the

## 223

destruction of her arch-enemy, Greece might well hope to establish something very like the empire of her dreams.

Suddenly, almost without warning, Greece was plunged from her pinnacle of triumph to the depths of defeat. A shift of European politics left her unsupported, the Turks made a desperate rally, and the Greek armies in Asia Minor were broken. The Greek cause suffered the most terrible disaster that had befallen it since the Turks wiped out the Byzantine Empire 500 years before. The very foundations of Hellenism in Asia Minor were destroyed, for the Turks, determined to make any fresh attack impossible, proceeded to root out the whole Greek population. Fully 2,000,000 Asiatic Greeks were either massacred or driven as starving, diseased refugees to their distracted motherland. The situation was made still worse by the political disturbances which broke out in Greece itself. Filled with fury and despair, the Greeks vented their rage upon one another. Greek politics are habitually turbulent, and Greece is to-day rent by bitter factional disputes.

Unless Greece speedily pulls herself together she may suffer still further losses. The Balkans are a primitive land where the weak usually get short shrift. Greece still has things worth taking, and there are those quite ready to take them. Not only would Bulgaria jump at the chance to seize the tongue of Greek territory (taken from Bulgaria by the peace treaties) which bars Bulgaria from the Mediterranean, but Yugoslavia is also to be feared. Though technically Greece's friend, Yugoslavia looks longingly at southern Macedonia and Salonika, possession of which would give Yugoslavia a Mediterranean

## 224

outlet and clinch its Balkan supremacy at one and the same time. When I was last in Belgrade, the Yugoslav capital, I heard much talk about Salonika, and some Serbs made no bones of stating that they would seize it if a good opportunity offered.

Greece thus stands to-day in a very dangerous situation. In many ways she is worse off than Bulgaria. Yet, here again, no one can predict with certainty what the morrow may bring. In the Balkans fortune's wheel turns swiftly, political combinations shift with amazing suddenness and startling surprises may be in store.

Finally, let us consider Rumania, the fourth important Balkan state. Rumania is the link between the Balkans and both Central and Eastern Europe. Geographically she lies mainly outside the Balkans, but historically she has been so closely connected with Balkan affairs that she forms a logical part of the Balkan area.

Of all the Balkan states Rumania gained most by the Great War. The peace treaties more than doubled her pre-war territory and population, so that to-day she exceeds even Yugoslavia in size and wealth. With her present area of 122,000 square miles (larger than Italy), her population of over 17,000,000, and her rich agricultural and mineral



resources, Rumania looks almost like a first-class Power. However, as so often happens in the Balkans, appearances are deceptive. In reality, Rumania's very gains have produced such grave internal problems, and made such bitter foreign foes that Rumania's future is extremely troubled and uncertain.

The Rumanians themselves are a curious folk. They

## 225

illustrate the power of language and culture to form a national consciousness out of varied racial elements. The Rumanians are obviously of extremely mixed racial origin. Alpine Slav blood seems to be the largest element in their make-up, though there is also a considerable infusion of Mediterranean blood, together with diverse Asiatic strains. Nevertheless, the Rumanians speak a Latin language and proudly consider themselves full-fledged members of the "Latin Race" (there being, of course, no such thing).

How, then, do we find a Latin-speaking folk living along the lower Danube in the southeastern corner of Europe, hundreds of miles from the other Latin-speaking peoples? The Rumanians themselves explain the mystery by claiming to be the descendants of Roman colonists planted north of the Danube by the Emperor Trajan after his conquest of that region in the second century A.D. Racially this does not mean much, because Trajan's colonists were undoubtedly a miscellaneous lot of provincials with very little "Roman" blood. But culturally the picturesque legend probably does give the reason for the persistence of Latin speech along the lower Danube. Flooded though these regions were by all sorts of barbarian hordes for centuries after the fall of Rome, the Latin-speaking population possessed cultural traditions superior to their conquerors and, as so often occurs in such cases, converted the conquerors to their speech and customs. Precisely what happened we do not know, for the Rumanians do not appear as a distinct people until well into the Middle Ages, when we find them settled both in the fertile plains north of the Danube and in the

## 226

adjacent highlands of Transylvania. They were not a warlike folk and were mostly subject to foreign masters, but they were extremely persistent and prolific, and they took advantage of the devastating wars which raged about them to spread steadily east, north, and west, settling large sections of Hungary and of Southern Russia -- especially the province known as Bessarabia. The Turks conquered the Rumanians as they did the other Balkan peoples, but the Rumanians were so far from the seat of Turkish Power that they were governed indirectly by Byzantine Greek viceroys appointed by the Sultans. These tributary provinces, lying north of the Danube, formed the nucleus for the later Kingdom of Rumania. As Turkish Power declined it seemed for a while that the Rumanians of the Danube plains would be annexed to Russia, which did succeed in getting Bessarabia early in the nineteenth century. But the Rumanians, like the other Balkan peoples, were now awakening to national consciousness, and after many difficulties the people of the Danubian plains (excepting Bessarabia) succeeded in escaping Russian annexation, threw off their vassalage to Turkey, and established an independent Kingdom of Rumania.



This Rumanian state was not very large, but so fertile was its soil and so dense its population that it rapidly grew in importance and prosperity. Like the other Balkan peoples, the Rumanians began dreaming of a great future and eyed with increasing impatience the sight of millions of their kinsmen under Russian and Hungarian rule. Until the outbreak of the Great War, however, such dreams of a "Greater Rumania" had little chance

## 227

of coming true. Rumania's "unredeemed" kinsfolk were subjects of first-class European Powers -- Austria-Hungary and Russia. Furthermore, these Rumanians lived intermixed with other populations, which added grave difficulties to Rumanian annexation even had this been politically possible. Rumania therefore contented herself with encouraging nationalistic movements among the Rumanians of Hungary and Bessarabia, her active foreign policy being mainly directed to Balkan affairs, where she was dealing with nations of her own size. In fact, Rumania's sole accession of territory before 1914 was her annexation of the Bulgarian district known as the Dobrudja when Rumania joined Greece and Serbia, and shared in the despoiling of Bulgaria after the Second Balkan War. Rumania thus gained a province with no Rumanian inhabitants. It rounded out her Black Sea frontage very nicely, but it made Bulgaria her bitter enemy.

When the Great War began Rumania adopted an attitude of canny neutrality. By the year 1916 Rumania made an excellent bargain with the Allies, obtaining their promise of Austria-Hungary's Ruman-inhabited territories, and entered the war on the Allied side. At first it looked as though Rumania had made a bad bet. The Rumanian armies were quickly beaten and the Kingdom of Rumania was overrun by Austro-German, Bulgarian, and Turkish forces. Then occurred an event which, for Rumania, turned out to be a great piece of luck -- the Russian Revolution. Bolshevik Russia became the enemy of the Allies. Therefore, when the Allies won the war, Rumania claimed not only the Austro-Hungarian provinces that had been promised her but Russia's province

## 228

of Bessarabia as well. The Allies finally agreed, and Rumania thus fulfilled her wildest dreams.

However, Rumania's gains contained germs of trouble. Even the pre-war Rumania had been none too stable, her social system suffering from grave defects. The chief element of stability had been the fact that the great bulk of the population was Rumanian. Such was the country which suddenly swelled to more than twice its pre-war size, annexing a whole series of powerful, rebellious minorities, and thereby making powerful embittered foreign enemies who would be almost certain ultimately to make trouble. Of post-war Rumania's 17,500,000 inhabitants only about 11,500,000 are Rumanians. Furthermore, it must be remembered that of these 11,500,000 Rumanians, only 6,500,000 live in pre-war Rumania, the balance being "redeemed" Rumanians formerly subjects of Austria-Hungary and Russia. This is important, because the "redeemed" Rumanians differ in many ways from those of the former kingdom, and have already had some lively political tiffs with their kinsmen. It is this none too stable Rumanian *bloc* which has to hold down nearly

2,000,000 Magyars (Hungarians), over 1,000,000 Russians, nearly 1,000,000 Jews, 500,000 Serbs, 500,000 Germans, and fully 1,000,000 of lesser national groups such as Bulgars, Turks, Greeks, Armenians, and Gypsies. Thus far Rumania's handling of her minorities has been characterized by brutality tempered by bribery. Rumanian politics have always been corrupt, and official corruption seems to have increased rather than diminished since the war. These things not only weaken the government but give encouragement to foreign enemies. And Rumania

## 229

certainly has dangerous foreign foes. First and foremost stands Russia, which has never forgiven what it considers to be Rumania's "robbery" of Bessarabia, and which will certainly try to get it back again -- perhaps with interest. Then there is Hungary, stricken to her very heart by Rumania's new frontiers. Again there is Bulgaria, which has not forgotten Dobrudja. Lastly, there is Serbia, today allied to Rumania through common dislike of Hungary, but dissatisfied over its boundary with Rumania, which leaves so many Serbs inside Rumania's frontiers. Nowhere in Eastern Europe has Rumania a real friend. The Rumanians often call themselves "the Latin islet in the Slav ocean." They instinctively distrust all Slavs -- and the Slavs have no love for them.

.

---

.

Back to [Chapter X -- The New Realm of Science](#)  
[Patrick Henry On-Line?](#)

---

# Where are you, Louie?

by [Mike Kemp](#)

Reports from the Balkans are unmistakable. There were, from indisputable evidence stipulated by both sides, dozens of civilian dead from an air raid on a motorized convoy carrying civilians, refugees. NATO suspects a Serbian MiG. Serbian sources report as fact that it was an American aircraft.

The kicker is that the pilot has apologetically described his killing of his fellows. He coldly described his premeditated actions, consciously calling up weapons and positioning to deliver ordnance on a small gaggle of \*vehicles that looked alike\* that were traveling down the road. Defense Secretary Cohen has publicly apologized for the \*collateral damage.\* The prez has tossed it off as inevitable.

A definitive answer is required. This could nail shut the coffin on Slobodon Milosovic and his oft-reported thuggery forever and all, exposing him as an animal for staging such a thing. Or, it could be the little girl running down the road naked and on fire from American napalm ... or the South Vietnamese general putting a .38 into the skull of an North Vietnamese lieutenant who had infiltrated during Tet. It could cause the American people to realize just what the term \*collateral damage\* means.

How? Who can we trust? Why, we can TRUST the Fibbie lab. Just ask Agent Dr. Whitehurst, formerly HMFIC explosives analyst (canned and subsequently paid a large remuneration for getting fired without cause, for telling the world that in the Fibbie lab, evidence and analysis was manipulated by orders from on high) in Freehdom. Therefore, we are required to round up some of Freehdom's finest and pack them off to Kosovo. Get the straight skinny. Bomb frags. Explosives residue. Let the chips fly. Come on Louie, ya gotta do it. It's your job.

Who cares that is foreign? That didn't slow down your boss, now, did it? Don't tell me that there's a war going on. Did I hear that war was declared? Noooo.

Did I miss something? Yeah ah deid. Ah hurd dat de Serbs had done got pissed an deeclayred waur on US. Oh. That makes it ok ... doesn't it? Who declared war on them? Nobody?!? Wait a minute. It's certainly reasonable to expect that if you drop bombs on someone, they're liable to get irritated. What are we doing over there to start with?

You mean that we are bombing a buncha folks that nobody seems to have a complaint against? Why? Because we got a gang up and told them to sign an \*agreement\* letting us put a bunch of soldiers on their streets while they disarm. Or we would bomb them into the stone age.

What if the europeans had gotten together back in the 1800's and told us we had to stop killing indians and they were going to put troops all over the place. I don't think so. What if they did it in, say, 1865? What if they bombarded our coastal towns and blockaded our ports? What if they sank every ship they could find and sailed every navigable stream destroying towns? They would of course call every infrastructure \*potentially of military use.\*

There is international law. It is considered polite behavior in international circles to not approach one's neighbor in the middle of the night as an armed housebreaker. Nor as an armed and fueled mob threatening arson and death in the street. If one becomes irretrievably pissed at one's neighbor(s), one swears out a warrant (declares war) while pursuing a) defense and b) recompense. To do otherwise is international and state-conducted piracy.

Our own laws dictate in Article I section 8 that the congress shall have the power to declare war. Article I section 8 also speaks to the congress' duty to arm and provide for the militia ... though the states shall control and deliver upon Washington's request. THEN there's an army for our president to command. And a mission. Dictated by Congress.

*"War. Mr. President, go do it. We have spoken with the military commanders, and they have told us what has to be done and what it will cost and that it can be done. Here are the keys. Crank'er up."*

Mr. President can react to an acute attack on American security and interest. No such situation has been demonstrated to exist. We have, with a gang of other folks with no standing in law to press an attack, joined in a brutal and destructive attack on the physical infrastructure of a sovereign nation.

The Federalist Papers speak to the presence in the states of the militia, assuring that an attack or threat or unforeseen circumstance deleterious to public order would be dealt with by the citizens' militia, and if major would soon have the forces of nationalized militia from other states joining in the larger effort. The Constitution speaks to the use of the militia -- *to execute the laws of the union and suppress insurrections and repel invasions*. It is perilously close to accurate to say that the President is in a state of insurrection.

So... L0000IE! L0000000IE! Freedom, where are you? Congress? Where are all these freaking Constitutional scholars from January? How about it, senators? I know ya'll can't declare war, but ya'll certainly qualify as interested parties. Mr. and Ms. Justices, yoo hoo, whassup? Ya'll got ennythang t'say?

Sheeeit. Ye cain't git good hep nowhar...

[William Michael Kemp](#)

.

.

Back to [Patrick Henry On-Line](#)

Back to [Modern Militiaman #11](#) of April 19, 1999

Back to [Thought 4 The Day](#)

Back to [Stuff I Wish I'd Written -- But Didn't](#)

.

# The Kosevo Proving Grounds

## No Easy Withdrawal In The War of Clinton's Penis

by [Martin Lindstedt](#)

Right now there is much talk about the latest war this criminal regime has started against yet another small country in order to show that it is still the lone superpower and that what it wants still goes. However, this evil multi-cultural empire has decided to take on a country of fighters which finished off the last evil multi-cultural evil empire wanting them to submit to their rule. The end result of the last "damn-foolishness" in the Balkans, contrary to Bismarck's warning, was that the Dual Empire of Austria-Hungary started a Great War which ended up destroying Austria-Hungary, the Hohenzollerns of Prussia, the Romanov dynasty of Russia, and the unravelling of the British Empire as well. Just as today, a corrupt evil empire well on the way out decided to bully some Serbs into a forced agreement and the Serbs chose to fight back. The end result was World War I. This latest foolishness could well result in World War III.

Every empire on the decline must press its luck in fighting against a supposedly weaker opponent which has the advantage of enjoying a fight. The wealthy Lydians fought the rough Medes and Persians even though their wise men counceled that there was nothing to be gained from fighting rough shepherds who wore animal skins and slept on the ground. An oracle said that if Croesus fought the Persians, "a mighty empire would be destroyed." And so it was -- Croesus's. Likewise, Tacitus criticised the Late Roman Empire's thirst for blood. "They fight against a rich foe for loot, against a poor foe for glory. They make a desert and call it peace." The end result is that the foolish Romans destroyed several buffer kingdoms that could have been better used as a bulwark against barbarian invasion. Likewise, the end of the Assyrian Empire came about because they totally destroyed the Elamites, allowing the Chaldean Babylonians in league with the Medes to fill the power vacuum and destroy Ninevah. Likewise the Austrian Emperor Franz Joseph initially resisted fighting against the Serbs because he thought them a warlike people better left alone and of no benefit to the Dual Empire. Yet the tottering Dual Empire decided to fight against the Serbs, with the end result that the Dual Empire of Austria-Hungary broke apart after WWI into its constituent nationalities of Austria, Hungary, Poland, Czechoslovakia, and Jugoslavia -- "The Land of the South Slavs," of which Serbia took the foremost place.

The Balkans consist of various White tribes who have been at war with each other for millennia. The countryside is broken up by mountain chains so that the end result is that even people who are of similar racial backgrounds, such as the southern Slavs, cannot get along with each other any better than the Athenians and Spartans did. Rather it is a matter of which tribe has the whip hand, and that tribe immediately sets about trying to impose its rule upon its

cousins. As Lothrop Stoddard in his [Racial Realities In Europe](#) of 1924 put it: "What each of the Balkan peoples hopes in its heart of hearts is to dominate the Balkans and eventually destroy its rivals by "converting" the conquered peoples to its particular language, church, and way of thinking. This is what makes Balkan quarrels so ferocious; each people realizes that its very life may be at stake, and is therefore ready to fight its opponents' imperialistic aspirations to the death." [Racial Realities In Europe, "The Balkan Flux"](#) in 1924. Neither the Turks nor any other invading empire have had any luck in changing Serbian nature. This raises the question as to what a couple of weeks of air strikes against this tough, warlike people is going to accomplish that the Turks couldn't during a 500-year occupation.

The fact of the matter is that the evil NWO/ZOG empire is not going to accomplish anything other than a continuing state of war in the Balkans. Ronald Reagan at least had enough sense to double the armed forces and then make sure that these armed forces only whipped up on small countries in this hemisphere. The never-ending Wars of Clinton's Penis are characterised by fighting first easy countries such as Haiti, which never had anything other than a few voodoo gangs masquerading as policemen. Whipping a terminal basket case such as Haiti is no great feat of military arms. Fighting against an Asian country in which air power can be easily used to interdict military formations, such as the flat lands of Iraq, is far more risky. Fighting a White European nation with a long history of warfare and which has the overwhelming support of its own people in difficult terrain is insane, especially given that the rich NATO nations are politically unable to fight a long, drawn-out infantry ground war. Nor does the NATO countries have limitless resources at hand in order to win this war of aggression that they have started. The Serbs, on the other hand, have declared war on their tormenters and they now have the option of saying when this war will end. They also have the option of dragging this war out, or making it a total war of extermination by means of biological warfare -- if so they choose.

One should not worry about the Serb's abilities to take care of themselves. They have already driven many Albanians as refugees out of Kosevo, and executed Albanian leaders who thought that calling in NWO/ZOG NATO muscle would mean that they could annex Kosevo into greater Albania. More bombing attacks means that it is in Serbia's interests to remove the bone of contention by making Kosovo an Albanian-free zone. Escalating the bombing attacks means that more Albanians get killed. An escalation into total war means that it is but a matter of time before weapons of mass destruction are used against the capital cities of the NWO/ZOG NATO aggressors. In an era of biological weaponry, genocide is no longer the exclusive option of evil empires.

The latest War of Clinton's Penis isn't doing very well. It is one thing to bomb from 100 miles away via cruise missiles a country which will not and cannot fight back. It is yet another thing to fight a warlike country which is in rough terrain and which could make any ground attack costly. Rather than back down, the Serbs are fighting all that much more the harder. The whole world has had enough of the imperial bully and looks forward to its deserved



comeuppance. Time for Saddam Hussein to slip loose his leash.

The Russians will end up backing their Serbian cousins and co-religionists. But not overtly. All that is necessary is for Russian military material such as anti-aircraft missiles to get into Serb hands and the evil empire will find that continuing the air war is no longer an option. The current Russian government of Zionist criminals isn't going to upset their gravy train. However, the average Russian will end up despising his criminal regime all that much the more, as they withdraw all moral support from the Yeltsin regime and demand a Slavophile Nationalist regime to bring back the glories of Mother Russia. They average Russian will soon have no choice but to abandon foreign democracy and Khazar Bolshevism and demand a Russian strongman who will put the interests of Russia and Russians first. They must overthrow their current criminal regime and exterminate their ruling criminal regimeists of scalawag thieves and Khazars if they are to survive as a nation and as a people. This current invasion of their cousins by an imperialistic NWO/ZOG West will wake up the Russians and inform them that only they can save their own lives -- and they had better start doing that quickly and decisively.

The rest of the world is watching and cheering the Serbs. They have no reason to love the NWO/ZOG beast power. After all, most Africans believe AIDS was created in a test-tube by the CIA in order to kill blacks. Most South Americans remember that the CIA brought their countries guns and brought back cocaine to the streets of South Central LA. The patriotic Arab remembers how the evil empire pays the occupational Khazar bastard-state misnomered "Israel" to murder and butcher out ethnic Palestinians in the very homeland that Khazars stole. Saddam Hussein and Omar Khaddafi are as much heroes to the patriotic Arab as Slobidan Milosevik is to the average Serbian.

What happens if the War of Clinton's Penis bogs down in Serbia and the mainland Chinese decide to invade Taiwan? What if Saddam Hussein decides that the time is right to restart the current war now that the over-stretched evil empire is bogged down in the Balkans?

Meanwhile, the Patriot Movement is altogether against this War of Clinton's Penis in the Serbian Theatre. They remember the NWO/ZOG evil empire's recent domestic invasions in Texas and California and are convinced that it is practice for these Army thugs to practice house-to-house urban warfare in order to confiscate privately held firearms and send political dissenters to death camps. They remember how the CIA created AIDS at Ft. Dettrich, Maryland, sold anthrax to Saddam Hussein, and crack to the natives of South Central LA. They know how the NWO/ZOG is out to allow armies of wetbacks to steal their jobs and commit crimes against our White People. We all know how White children are bought and sold by social welfare agencies who steal these children in order to sell them to rich perverts and for blood sacrifice to satanic Khazars during their blood-fest holidays. The murderous rational used by this NWO/ZOG power for the bombing of Serbia, namely Kosovar autonomy for separatist Albanian squatters can easily be applied by White Nationalists to justify their secessionist movements as well. Dear Secretary of State of the Republic of Texas -- shouldn't you be making hay while the sun shines? Dear

League of the South Southern Party Exploration Committee -- shouldn't you be re-negotiating, then setting aside, the Appomattox Armistice of 1865?

This latest phase of the War of Clinton's Penis has the opportunity for revolt against the Evil Empire that its enemies -- both foreign and domestic -- have been waiting for. The British Empire, during its wars of aggression fought a war against the Spanish empire because it cut off the ear of a smuggler named Jenkins -- hence the mercantile war by that name. This current War of Clinton's Penis was caused because the cowardly degenerate First Rapist who safely hid in Moscow during Johnson's War (smoking dope but not inhaling) wished to show how tough he was, and his NWO/ZOG Khazar 'advisors' thought that the coast was clear to commit some more genocide upon a tough White population of Serbs. Very well then -- let this latest phase of the War of Clinton's Penis clasp the Evil Empires' expeditionary imperialist tally-whacker so tight that it will never be able to withdraw without losing serious hide. After this, let nobody wish to invite into their body politic the enlisted member of military rapine from the Beast Power. Let a lesson be drawn as to the well-deserved fate of the Albanian quislings who invited into Kosevo the NWO/ZOG NATO imperialist aggressors.

[Martin Lindstedt](#)

Managing Editor, *Modern Militiaman*

.

.

Back to [Patrick Henry On-Line](#)

Back to [Modern Militiaman #11](#) of April 19, 1999

Back to [Thought 4 The Day](#)

.

# A Jew Easter

[Jim Floyd](#)

I am no longer an American. Do not call me an American. Yes, I was once an American but no longer. I do not now, nor will I ever conform to today's definition of an American. And I find no commonality with those who now claim to be Americans.

I am not a Christian as defined by these same tricksters nor do I desire any truck with those who put themselves forward as America's Christian leaders. And I find it inconceivable that anyone of sane mind would want to be a 'Clinton Christian', a 'Mad Albright Christian' or a Christian pleasing to our contemporary masters.

A Christian American would never order tons of lethal military gadgetry to be rained down within mere yards of a maternity ward housing Christian mothers and babies. Only a reckless fool would do such a wanton, vile act; a lewd lunatic of a wannabe president under duress has made me ashamed. I am humiliated and mad at G-d for putting me in this country, with these barbaric buffoons, at this dark uncivilized time.

We have a president, a sick president, whose addiction to risky sex adventures is now being replaced by an equally demented, careless, disregard for human life. He has chosen or been forced to choose a rat's nest of alien commissars who rule this nation and his worthless life.

You, surely, must realize that Clinton, Gore, our despicable congress, the so-called Generals, the CNN reporters etc., etc., are mere hand-puppets. As you view them on your TV, this morning, understand clearly, please, that there is a long arm up their rectum, a hand that moves their lying lips and a practiced ventriloquist behind the curtains propagating an un-American, anti-Christ, anti-Christian, loony-tunes ideology.

And there is no light at the end of this long hell's tunnel! If Al Gore replaces Clinton, well, we will still have a Clinton government; A Gore administration will be a Clinton administration without an erection.

So, as Christendumb, commemorates the death of Christ and celebrates His resurrection, while so-called Christians kill Christians and have-nots kill other have-nots, there is a disgraceful, sinister gang of pretenders who missed-out on the execution of Christ but are determined to compensate for their late arrival by destroying His followers. They can't kill Christ again but they can kill you -- and they will.

Your complicity and silence in this odious profanation is and will forever remain unconscionable. Go hide your eggs, fool, and hide your sorry faces, America.

[Jim Floyd](#)

-----  
I ain't done yet! Will Clinton arm these dirty little illegal Mexicans (La Raza) when I start cleansing this community? Illegal immigration is genocide!

Do you know that the Judenpresse are using the terms "ethic Albanians" to avoid saying Moslem and conversely "ethnic Serbs" to avoid saying Christians? How many illegal Albanians invaded Yugoslavia?

Can't you see a marked difference in our top echelon military officers? We haven't had a real American officer since Willie Safire ran Bobby Ray Inman off Capitol Hill! The rulers of this country have purged our military.

And to my Moslem friends -- do you really think, for one moment, that this beastly State Department gang of Steins and Rubins are your good-buddys because of their onslaughts against Christians? Did you see any trains or busses moving Palestinians out of Sabra/Shatila or Deir Yassin? Don't you dearly love this selective prosecution of law and conventions?

Am I the only one who knows that there is no coalition of nations, that Maddy Albright took this country's purse, got on the phone, and bought so-called partners?

Is anybody else offended by dandy, squeaky-voiced, cockelorum Brits parading across the TV? A failed empire aiding and abetting in our downfall.

Do you condone Gore's statement of last night that it is "our moral obligation" to continue the bombing during Easter?

Don't you envy the united Serbs, holding hands, singing together, independent, defiant, bravely believing in something? Today's Americans believe in nothing, know nothing, and feel only those stupid, politically correct, sentiments allowed by their handlers.

Are you appalled at that ugly cur dog of a girl CNN TV person that, in the same breath, calls houses and people "collateral damage?" (as if a house and a human life were of equal value)

Why am I so angry? Yugo means South and I've heard much talk of the "reconstruction" of South Slavia. And I know in my heart that there is a scallawag, carpetbegging, flock of bussards waiting to profit off a devastated Serbia. May God damn them all to hell fire!

c/c: Vuk Draskovic  
Yugoslav Deputy Prime Minister

[Jim Floyd](#)

.

.

---

Back to [Patrick Henry On-Line](#)  
Back to [The Thought 4 The Day](#)

Back to [Stuff I Wish I Wrote -- But Didn't](#)

Back to [Modern Militiaman Issue #11 of April 19, 1999](#)

.

# Waco and Kosovo

by [Carol Valentine](#)

Is anyone dumb enough to believe the three traitorous Jews who run the US military/foreign policy for the benefit of Israel -- Albright, Cohen, Burger- care about atrocities? Is anyone dumb enough to believe the US military rank and file care about atrocities?

Then think about what happened in Waco almost six years ago. The US military strafed a wooden farmhouse full of women and children with machine gun fire, publicly tortured them for 51 days, and then set upon them, murdering them brutally and mutilating their bodies (during or after the act of murder).

See, for example, the photo of the little girl Melissa Morrison, before the US came to "rescue" her from the farmhouse.

[http://www.Public-Action.com/SkyWriter/WacoMuseum/death/74/74\\_aut.html](http://www.Public-Action.com/SkyWriter/WacoMuseum/death/74/74_aut.html)

Then see the photo of what remained of Melissa Morrison after the US came to "rescue" her.

[http://www.Public-Action.com/SkyWriter/WacoMuseum/war/fig/w\\_fig30.jpg](http://www.Public-Action.com/SkyWriter/WacoMuseum/war/fig/w_fig30.jpg)

Or look at the photo of Mary Jean Borst, after she was "rescued" by the US.

[http://www.Public-Action.com/SkyWriter/WacoMuseum/death/45/45\\_pix.html](http://www.Public-Action.com/SkyWriter/WacoMuseum/death/45/45_pix.html)

Doesn't she look patriotic?

.

Six years after the atrocity of Waco, NOT ONE of the murderers has been indicted. Atrocities, murder, and mayhem are *policy* for the US military and government apparatus. They **TEACH** atrocities at the School of Americas. They practice atrocities at home and abroad.

Get it?

So US concern with alleged Serb atrocities is obviously a huge lie, told to hide the real motives of Albright/Cohen/Burger/Israel for having the "US" enter the fray. The real question is this: What is the nature of Israel's objection to the Serb state, and why do they want the Serbs broken?

Here are some interesting web sites for your study. This one examines the phoney story of Serb Racak atrocity:

<http://www.onebm.com/golem/kosovo.html>

This site offers an analysis of the "agreement" that the Serbs choose not to sign.

<http://www.transnational.org/pressinf/pf57.html>

Or, here is a story of Albanian atrocities:

<http://www.gov.yu/terrorism/index.html>

Now, I must mention [Bob Djurdjevic \(bobdj@djurdjevic.com\)](mailto:bobdj@djurdjevic.com), [www.truthinmedia.org](http://www.truthinmedia.org) who has done so much pioneer work in this arena.

In his e-mail message: Special TiM GW Bulletin on Kosovo Crisis: Day 4, Update 2 (Mar. 27; 10:15PM EST) Mr. Djurdjevic, talking about the downed F-117, wrote:



>Nevertheless, our prayers go to the pilot of the F-117, whose  
>destiny is not known at this moment.

I wrote to Mr. Djurdjevic and asked him why. Why in God's name would Djurdjevic pray for a serial high-tech murderer? Djurdjevic has absolutely no evidence that the pilot repented of his sins. If that pilot lives, he will no doubt continue on his path of murder, teaching and encouraging others to do the same.

Our armed services have one duty -- to defend the US. Any act of war, other than in pursuit of this goal, is MURDER. US servicemen who DIE when committing acts of murder should put a song in our hearts and fill us with gratitude for the rough justice dealt now and then by fate.

One US serviceman dead, one fewer of the vermin to worry about.

[Copyright, March 1999. May be reproduced for non-commercial purposes.]

===

[Carol A. Valentine](#)

President, Public Action, Inc.

Have you seen the Waco Holocaust Electronic Museum?  
See what they did to the mothers and children--

<http://www.Public-Action.com/SkyWriter>

*"In an age of universal deceit, telling the truth is a revolutionary act."*  
--attr. **George Orwell**

.

.

Back to [Patrick Henry On-Line](#)

Back to [Modern Militiaman #11](#) of April 19, 1999

Back to [Thought 4 The Day](#)

.

# Exodus, The Final Chapter

[J.J. Johnson](#)

Friends, Americans, Sovereigns, lend me your eyes...

We have since learned that the photo of a downed F-117A was actually a downed Russian MIG. However, it has been confirmed that other NATO aircraft have been shot down along with speculations about the intent of the Russian Navy. But this is not the main subject of this testimony.

For the past six years, many of us have been frustrated, angered, and challenged by this administration. Our basic principles of life have been put to the test. Of late, we have been reduced to mere "joke-telling" about Clinton and his minions along with exchanging information about what is going on overseas and why. But with depleting ordnance stockpiles, low military morale, total penetration of our national security and Russian naval maneuvers in progress, it isn't funny anymore.

Many of us are chained to our employers, forced into voluntary (involuntary to some) servitude to repay our debts, and taxes. We hold on to some fleeting hope that we will wake up and this nightmare will be over. Yet each day, more and more of us wake up and are simply glad we are still breathing.

There are some of us who remember the underground bomb shelters that were fashionable back in the 50's and 60's. Many of us grew up with the thought of nuclear annihilation being an everyday fact of life. Today these visions, once washed out of the American mind set, are back in full view of each of us.

I have never been one to claim that "the sky is falling." For those who claim I am in this case, please go and sign up for volunteer service in our armed forces. The military recruiters are getting bored, anyway. But today, not only do I see the sky falling, I also see the oceans opening up to swallow us.

We don't need to look far to see our weaknesses. Still we are at war -- the most important conflict in which Americans have ever engaged. Not with the Serbians, but with our own hearts and minds. We all subconsciously know what's at stake, what may happen in the future and what we may soon be called upon to do. We have no choice but to fight this war.

If you are seriously thinking, "This government is out of control. . ."

You are not alone.

If you are thinking, "Could this mess escalate into World War III?"

You are not alone.

If you are thinking, "Maybe we have spread ourselves thin around the world. . ."

You are not alone.

If you are thinking about how Chinese espionage now has a more dreaded meaning ...

You are not alone.

If you are thinking "Now is the time to re-locate my family away from metropolitan areas. . ."

You are not alone.

Take a look at all those refugees. Take a good look. Do you feel more sorrowful because they look like you? Dress like you? Or worse, because you know who and what's really causing this mass exodus.

Here's another ugly wound it's time to open up. Imagine those refugees looking and dressing like the ones you see showing up in your small town from a major city near you. These are the ones who happened to be on the interstate -- visiting loved ones. . .

. . .or the ones who escaped at the last second.

This will be the day when the "We told you so" comments will not be necessary. If we are wise, we will be too busy helping these "refugees" or building walls to protect ourselves from them. Still, we would be more fortunate than those who choose to ignore the obvious.

It saddens my heart to resign myself and admit we are witnessing the Final Chapter in American history. No one doubts that America will be looked at with fear and contempt in the future. There is no doubt someone (or some group) will not continue to stand by and watch this carnage go on. There is no doubt we have become vulnerable to our enemies.

Just the thought of becoming one of the refugees you see now could turn one's stomach. But better now than later. Why do we stay? Each bead of sweat that drops from our foreheads helps pay for the drop of blood spilled. None of us are totally prepared to leave, but I envision events in the coming future may help us to make a faster commitment:

Rising gas prices (you're seeing this already)  
Rising food prices  
Forced conscription (both military and civilian)  
Travel restrictions  
Communication disruptions

And any other problems Y2K may bring.

For those of us who yearn to be free -- protectors of the Constitution -- for those who cannot align themselves with the basis for their own fears...

**Let the Exodus begin.**

We have no dog in this fight. It is not "un-American" to say our political leaders are not acting like Americans. It is not un-patriotic when we are given a blood-stained flag to rally around. Nor can we rally behind a man who has clearly forfeited

the moral right to stand with our flag. We must break the chains of those who wish to rule the planet. They should be forced to attempt their deeds without our assistance. This move -- this Exodus -- must be done not only for our own survival, but as the ultimate form of protest. The ultimate act of defiance.

Charlton Heston recently spoke of the pain of civil disobedience. For most of us, this will be painful indeed. Many of us have no rural land holdings. Nor do we have the tools or the stamina to survive in the wilderness. But why wait until we have no choice? Why wait until either the U.S., Russia, or China turn their military power upon us?

Those wishing to resist by force of arms cannot do so from a nuclear-scorched wasteland. Now is the time to find a safer and higher ground. To stay and support these policies make us just as guilty as those who make them -- thus deserving whatever wrath may come.

Some will say this is an impossible task. I say it is not. It will take the true American in all of us to understand the situation, the nature of what caused it, and overcoming all odds to achieve the ultimate objective. If the Sleeping Giant has awakened, now is the time for him to "get out of bed." We can analyze the situation until the cows come home, but the vast majority of us already understand. Let us not deny reality until we become like the refugees we see -- running away at the last minute with only the clothes on our backs -- and in total shock over what has happened.

This April, one should consider taking a leave of absence. Can your job be done from a distance? Will your moral conscience allow your (and your children's) tax dollars to pay for this? How many more Americans need to be enlightened? Is it really worth it? Would you feel safer far outside the city (or military installation) right now? Take a look in the mirror -- and ask yourself these questions.

**Friends, Americans, Sovereigns, America is lost.** As a nation, we have lost our direction; we have lost our common principles. And with that loss, our basic purpose for existing in a civilized world. No, I'm am not a defeatist. Nor have I turned my back on my countrymen. The founding principles of America that we hold dear to our hearts -- long since gone from Washington, D.C. -- should be taken with us on our journey. We can rebuild. Together, we can create a better, stronger America for our children. One that respects the rights of the individual as we respect the right of other sovereign nations.

This will be my last post for quite a while as we relocate -- far away. We will be watching from high ground, even with some hope that my fears are not true. I am going to be an American, with my fellow Americans. To that end: farewell, America -- you were great while you lasted. Let the Exodus begin.

And may the mercy of God Almighty be on us all.

[J.J. Johnson - citizen@mindspring.com](mailto:citizen@mindspring.com)

*"A right to jury trial is granted to criminal defendants in order to prevent oppression by the Government."*  
**Duncan vs Louisiana** 391 US, 149 1968

---

.

Back to [Patrick Henry On-Line](#)

Back to [The Thought 4 The Day](#)

Back to [Modern Militiaman, Issue #11 of Apr. 19, 1999](#)

.

# The Militia Movement -- From Where I Stand

[Norm Olson](#), Co-Founder, Michigan Militia

---

From: [Norm Olson \(normolson@northlink.net\)](mailto:normolson@northlink.net)  
Date: Wed, 10 Mar 1999 10:23:52 -0500  
Subject: The Militia Movement -- From Where I Stand

**Writers across the country have attempted to write** expressly, yet unabridged, about the militia phenomenon. With rare exception, none have grasped the gravity of problem facing America. Numerous articles have attempted to give a glimpse inside the movement, but alas, a look at the militia movement through the confines of a newspaper journalist's narrow vantage is much like looking at a vast landscape through a keyhole. One may focus on a small aspect, only to miss the vastness and expanse of the movement.

Suffice it to say that anyone trying to put the militia movement into a framework will fail. There are few parameters with which to measure the breadth and depth of the movement. Failing this, what remains? How will the general public-at-large be given a useful glass through which to see what is happening in this, the civil rights movement of the 90s?

In order to provide understanding, we must go back to basics. Many have concluded that the militia was spawned by misdirected individuals driven by paranoia. Others conclude that the militia are the emerging army of jingoistic nationalists bent on fostering the master race. But again, every time someone tries to define the movement, they must admit that they ignore the whole while focusing on the part -- a part that is oftentimes incorrect.

The ranchers of the west don't give a hoot about the New World Order and the East Coast Manhattan Island militia could care less about the Idaho biosphere police who want to stop all elk hunting for fear the bullets will cause lead poisoning of trees. And you can bet the African American militia in Detroit couldn't care less about the NRAs worry that the guns might be taken away. So everyone tries to package the item, but finds that it has no real shape. What then is the common denominator?

I'll answer that question in a minute, but before I do, I think it best to prepare readers with the grim reality that we are marching to revolution. JFK once said, "If we make peaceful revolution impossible, we make armed revolution inevitable." Folks, no peaceful revolution is able to take place since the voices of the media are silent. How long have I railed against the CIA? At the Senate Hearings on Domestic Terrorism and the Militia, I charged that "the puppet strings of corruption may reach even into the Senate." Ask the African American community their views of the CIA. Go outside the US and ask what other people think of the CIA. They laughed at me then, but whose laughing now? Conspiracy theories? They are a luxury of an idle back and the active imagination. The libraries are filled with facts of political corruption, oppression, abuses of power, and tyranny against the common ordinary citizen of this grand country! Who took the gold and silver only to replace it with a money system owned by a private corporation? Who destroyed the court of common law only to replace it with admiralty courts of Martial Law? And right here in Michigan,



who took away the grand jury system only to turn the grand jury power over to judges? It wasn't the common people that did these things. It isn't the common people who violate the Constitution daily by requiring licenses to travel on public thoroughfares; or, that levy extortion fees on allodial land in the form of property taxes to be paid under duress; or, levy taxes on income to be paid under duress. The majority of the American people are kept ignorant **BY THE OPPRESSORS OF THE PEOPLE** and made to think that taxes on property are lawful. No, we don't need conspiracy theories. The reality of every day's abuses is grim enough to scare the bejeebers out of us!

But so what? That merely restates the problem. Precisely, but restating the problem while avoiding a discussion on the effect leaves only one other area left to be discussed: The catalyst that will bring the revolution. That, friends, is a bubbling caldron about to boil over; and, while smiling faces ramble on about the effect, few are sober thinking enough to consider the cause.

Allow then an insight to the dynamic energy that caused the phenomenal effect we call the Militia Movement. While you read, keep in mind that the common people of the soil will grow stronger with every tread of the oppressor's boot. None can talk us out of existence! No slick bureaucrat can reason with us as long as we are being thrown off our land. No politician can comfort us as long as corruption robs the bread from our children's mouth. No judge can rule in our favor as long as courts are filled with injustice and where juries are bound and gagged. No petty politician can placate us while they heap more licenses, fees, permits, restrictions, and restrains on our effort at capital enterprise. No indeed! For you see, we feed on the tyrant's abuse of us and grow strong on his oppression. The more the power brokers try to stop us, the stronger we become and as one falls, ten more rise. The reality that all Americans must deal with is that revolution is coming and soon. The people have had enough!

Because of the corruption the disaffection and feeling of estrangement grows. And from those multitudes emerges the militants. To stop them, the hand-wringing politicians use the press to demonize the militia and the media to support their disinformation campaign against the militia to keep their office, in turn promising to make laws prohibiting the militia. But their solution only causes greater oppression and repression of expression and liberty and the pursuit of happiness. In order to make the situation better, they only make it worse.

But what of the legality and the lawfulness of the militia. Ought we not look at the basic elements of the fuel that runs the engine? Raise the question in your own mind, "Is the citizen militia legitimate and lawful?" Our Governor, the Lawmakers, and others say no. You've heard their lies. Now here are the facts:

The Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution recognizes the inherent right of states to form militia units. That amendment reads: *"A well-regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed."*

Not only does the Constitution allow the formation of a Federal Army, it specifically recognizes state militias, and confirms that the citizen and his personal armaments are the foundation of the citizen militia. The arming of the militia is not left to the state but to the citizen. Should the state choose to arm its citizen militia, it is free to do so under the United States Constitution (bearing in mind that the Constitution is not a document limiting the citizen, but rather one that establishes and limits the power of government). Should the state fail to arm its citizen militia, the right of the people to keep and bear arms becomes the source of the guarantee that the state will not be found defenseless in the presence of a threat to its security. It makes no sense whatsoever to look to the Constitution of the United States or that of any state for permission to form a citizen militia.

Logic demands that the power to grant permission is also the power to deny permission. Brought to its logical conclusion in this case, a state may deny the citizen the right to form a militia. If this were to happen, the state would assert itself as the principle of the contract making the people the agents. Liberty then would be dependent on the state's grant of liberty. Such a concept is foreign to

American thought. While the Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution acknowledges the existence of state militias and recognizes their necessity for the security of a free state and while it also recognizes that the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed, the Second Amendment is not the source of the right to form a militia nor to keep and bear arms. Those rights existed in the states prior to the formation of the federal union. In fact, the right to form militias and to keep and bear arms existed from antiquity. The enumeration of those rights in the Constitution only underscores their natural occurrence and importance. The Tenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution reads:

*"The Powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."*

Ultimate power over the militia is not delegated to the United States by the Constitution nor to the states, but resides with the people. Consequently, the power of the militia remains in the hands of the people. Again, the fundamental function of the militia in society remains with the people. Therefore, the Second Amendment recognizes that the militia's existence and the security of the state rests ultimately in the people who volunteer their persons to constitute the militia and their arms to supply its firepower. The primary defense of the state rests with the citizen militia bearing its own arms. Fundamentally, it is not the state that defends the people, but the people who defend the state. The secondary defense of the state consists in the statutory organization known as the National Guard. Whereas the National Guard is solely the creation of statutory law, the militia derives its existence from the inherent inalienable rights of man which existed before the Constitution and whose importance are such that they merited specific recognition in that document. While the National Guard came into existence as a result of legislative activity, the militia existed before there was a nation or a constitutional form of government. The militia consisting of people owning and bearing personal weapons is the very authority out of which the United States Constitution grew. This point must be emphasized. Neither the citizen's militia nor the citizen's private arsenal can be an appropriate subject for federal legislation or regulation. It was the armed militia of the American colonies whose own efforts ultimately led to the establishment of the United States of America! While some say that the right to keep and bear arms is granted to Americans by the Constitution, just the opposite is true. The federal government itself is the child of the armed citizen. We the people are the parent of the child we call government. The increasing amount of federal encroachment into the territory of the Second Amendment in particular and the Bill of Rights in general indicates the need for parental corrective action. In short, the federal government needs a good spanking to make it behave.

One other important point needs to be made. Since the Constitution is the limiting document upon the government, the government cannot become greater than the granting power, that is the servant cannot become greater than his master. Therefore, should the Chief Executive or other branch of government, or all branches together act to suspend the Constitution under a rule of martial law, all power granted to government would be canceled and defer back to the granting power, the people. Martial law shall not be possible in this country as long as the people recognize the Bill of Rights as inalienable. The present actions of this country's government have been to convince Americans that the Bill of Rights controls the people. The Bill of Rights has nothing to do with control of the people, nor control of the government established by the people. The Bill of Rights stands as immutable and unaffected by any change determined upon the Constitution by government.

.

In Michigan, the militia is the subject of Article III, §4. "The militia shall be organized, equipped and disciplined as provided by law." The law alluded to speaks of militias of the state, to be equipped, supported and controlled by the Governor. A thoughtful consideration of this arrangement leads immediately to the question of "Who really governs the militia?"

Article I, §1 of Michigan's Constitution says, *"All political power is inherent in the people. Government is instituted for their equal benefit, security and protection."*

Once again we see the inherent right of citizen militias vested in the people. The organizing and support of a state sponsored militia of the state is a power granted to the Governor. This fact is further supported by Art. I, §7,

*"The military shall in all cases and at all times be in strict subordination to the civil power."*

But which military? It cannot be the citizen militia since the agent of a contract can hold, but cannot control the principle. Therefore, the military spoken of is the military force permitted to be formed by the state, which is the National Guard. Neither can it be the citizen militia because, like the Federal Constitution, the Constitution of Michigan is the child of vested power reserved to the people forever. There is no possible way that the Governor of this State or the Chief Executive of the United States, or any legislative body can "outlaw" the citizen's militia for to do so would rob inherent power from the people and thereby transform the limited Constitutional Republic to a government controlled state. If that were to happen, our entire form of government would cease.

How then can the citizen militia be controlled? In simplest terms, it cannot be. It is the natural occurrence of the people who gather to defend against a perceived threat. Historically, citizen militias emerge when a clear and present danger exists, threatening the well-being of the people. It would stand to reason that power granted to the Governor to form a militia for the security of the people is intended to reduce the need for the citizen militia. Simply, if the National Guard did its job in securing the state, the citizen militia would not emerge. That it has emerged so dramatically seems to indicate that the people do not feel secure. Nor can the people be given promises of security. Well-being is not measured by promise, but by experience. Surely our experience has been that security is lacking, hence the emergence of the citizen militia. When safety and security are reestablished in Michigan, the citizen militia will return to its natural place, resident within the body of the people, only to emerge again when security is threatened. Security is the common desire of all mankind. We can no more control the militia than we can change the nature of men. For their safety and security, people everywhere will form militia if and when necessary.

By now it should be clear that the militia predates state and federal constitutions. Its right to exist among the citizenry cannot be subjected to legal challenge. The only effective challenge to citizen militias would be political engineering. One may envision an effort to amend both the state and federal constitution specifically abolishing the right for citizens to form militia units. Should such a venture be dared, the natural need of the citizens militia would increase, actually drawing more free people to it. By now also, one should draw the conclusion that the militia is inherent to all social, interactive people concerned about the well-being of fellow citizens. This conclusion is that which is so clearly stated in the Bill of Rights. No man-made law can abolish the citizen militia since such a law would be in fact an unlawful act designed to dissolve power vested in the people. Such an effort would reveal an intent of any tyrant to transform limited government created by the people into a government limiting the people. Most tyrants know that such a move must be well timed. It is no wonder then, that power-hungry central government and groomed courts view the Second Amendment as applying only to organized militias, i.e. armies of the individual states, that is, the National Guard.

To summarize: Citizen militias in Michigan are historic lawful entities predating all federal and state constitutions. Such militias are "grandfathered" into the very system of government they created as clearly revealed in both the Constitution of the United States and that of Michigan. These constitutions grant no right to form militias, but merely recognize the existing natural right of all people to defend and protect themselves. The governments created out of well armed and free people are to be constantly obedient to the people. Any attempt to take the means of freedom from the people is an act of rebellion against the people. Currently in Michigan, the citizen militia is subject only to the historic role of American militias as defined in Black's Law Dictionary: Militia: The body of citizens in a state, enrolled for discipline as a military force, but not engaged in actual service except in emergencies, as distinguished from regular troops or a standing army. In order to conform to this definition, and to remain able to oppose a rebellious and disobedient government,

the citizen militia must not be connected in any way with that government lest the body politic lose its fearful countenance as the only sure threat to a government bent on converting free people into slaves.

So you see, dear reader, the militia movement is much like the weather. You can talk about it all you want, but you cannot change what is coming. The corruption continues because the media is more concerned about movie stars than leaving to their children a land of honest government and honest courts. And while the true rulers of this land (We the People) fiddle with our amusement and distractions, enjoying the temporary bread and circus mood that now grips the land, the liberties so dear to our forebears, burn and become ashes. And sadly, as surely as an epitaph engraved on the tomb of the Late, Great United States of America, we allowed it happen. We walked into the night without knowing what had happened.

But others are preparing. The 3d Continental Congress is a fledgling effort at creating a provisional government, attempting to preserve the Constitution in the face of the coming military dictatorship that will certainly emerge during the revolution. In the face of growing anxiety about Y2K, concerned Americans are putting away food and seed and provisions. Many are buying silver coin to be used with the Federal Reserve's groundless money system collapses. Still others are forming communities to survive the coming crash and ensuing chaos. Thinking people are preparing and planning. Call them crazy, call them weirdo's, but never call them shortsighted. Theirs is a more practical survival plan than that of the money-mongers of Wall Street or the corrupted politicians in Washington.

Only time will tell who was right and who was wrong; but, if they those pitiful clowns and wannabes of the militia are wrong, they've missed nothing. But if they are right, perhaps they alone will survive the catastrophe soon to fall upon this land.

It appears that the devastation of Y2K is only now being truthfully considered. That alone ought to give us pause, but still greater in scope and scale is what may follow; for, unless there is a miraculous spiritual and moral change in the attitude of this nation, armed revolution is inevitable. Nothing can stop it. And so we prepare. That, my friends, is what the militia is all about.

[Norman E. Olson](#), Co-Founder,  
Michigan Militia Corps

Visit the [legitimate](#) Michigan Militia Corps?

Back to [Patrick Henry On-Line](#)

Back to [Modern Militiaman #11, April 19, 1999](#)

Back to [The Thought 4 The Day](#).



# How \*NOT\* To Do It

[Himmler" \(waffenss@earthlink.net\)](mailto:waffenss@earthlink.net)

From: [Himmler" \(waffenss@earthlink.net\)](mailto:waffenss@earthlink.net)

Subject: How \*Not\* to do it

Date: Mon, 8 Mar 1999 21:14:07 -0800

**OUR MOVEMENT HAS ONE GREAT TALENT:** we are absolute aces at demonstrating how NOT to go about resisting tyranny and genocide. That statement is only about half sarcastic; negative lessons can be of benefit IF WE CAN LEARN FROM THEM, an ability we don't seem to be able to develop. The latest is that some "American militia group" has been busted in Canada, of all places, "training" out in the north woods somewhere, with (of course) an arsenal of weapons which has now been confiscated. Depending on the degree of corruption in the RCMP or provincial police units involved, the guns will either be destroyed or sold on the black market to criminals. Certain it is that not one single round from any of those weapons will ever be fired at the racial enemy. I don't know many further details, but I don't need to. We've all heard the story before.

Look, I know none of you militia or survivalist types or Order wannabes out there are going to listen to me. I have come to accept down through the years that the gods have afflicted me with the Curse of Cassandra; I speak the truth and it goes in one ear and out the other. Nonetheless, it is my duty to speak on. I am going to tell you some things about guerrilla warfare. I am far more entitled to speak on this subject than 90% of you. I have served in two armies and two wars, and I have lived in three countries, (Rhodesia, South Africa, and Ireland) which were undergoing guerrilla insurgency, plus I study history and politics and revolutionary movements as a lifelong vocation. Acting on the highly tenuous proposition that you guys are serious if muddled in your intentions and are not simply acting out middle-aged Rambo fantasies, **I am going to give you a few pointers on how NOT to wage an insurrection against a powerful and entrenched regime.**

Now, do I need to stick in the usual ritual disclaimers here, in order to advise you what NOT to do? Hmmm... probably not. Okay, we won't bother with the semantic fig leaves this time we generally use to try and pretend we're not really saying what we're saying. Now attend:

**1. DIRECT ACTION REVOLUTIONARY MOVEMENTS DO NOT HAVE POST OFFICE BOXES.** They do not have newsletters. They do not have web pages. They do not solicit donations in the mail from right-wing mailing lists. They do not maintain mailing lists themselves or keep any written records of any kind for the enemy to seize.

**2. DIRECT ACTION REVOLUTIONARY MOVEMENTS \*ACT\*. THEY DO NOT \*TALK\*.** I am presently fighting my own fight with words. I do not pretend otherwise. If I felt that armed revolt was appropriate for the place and time and for me personally then I would not be talking, I would be shooting. I would NOT be talking about how I was going to start shooting just any old time now when the spirit moved me.

Nor would I be sending people threats in the mail or leaving threatening messages on their answering machines and getting myself a lengthy prison sentence. This is the act of an idiot. Threatening someone at all is the act of an idiot. If you genuinely mean to carry out your threat then you are simply putting your target on his guard. If you don't mean to carry out your threat then you are a coward and a disgrace to the cause you purport to serve who makes us all look ridiculous. A large part of the lack of respect our point of view commands in this country is due to the fact that so many of our people are quite obviously pompous blowhards who dress up in camouflage uniforms and wave their semi-autos in the air for the television cameras talking and bragging about all the valiant deeds they're going to do at some unspecified time down the pike. You might call this the "Terre Blanche" syndrome. We lost a whole country like that, South Africa. Please, \*please\*, PLEASE don't make fools of yourself and fools of the rest of us by doing this.

There's an old saying, "Don't Talk the Talk if you're not going to Walk the Walk". I disagree. Don't Talk the Talk at all, under any circumstances. Either DO IT and KEEP YOUR MOUTH SHUT both before and after -- or just plain keep your mouth shut.

**3. DO NOT STOCKPILE WEAPONS. DO NOT STOCKPILE EXPLOSIVES. DO NOT STOCKPILE ANYTHING AT ALL.** Stockpiles are nothing but nice, juicy propaganda plums for the BATF to seize. If you have a stockpile, given the poor quality of the so-called "guerrillas" the militias et. al. attract, some pale-skinned scumbag will eventually rat you out to save his own wretched hide. You will lose your stockpile and your freedom. There will be NO OTHER RESULT from stockpiling, because the fact that you stockpile indicates that you are not serious. Yes, yes, I know the I.R.A. stockpiles guns and explosives. They also lose whole arsenals every year to the Garda and the R.U.C., 150 Kalashnikovs at a time still in their original Libyan wrappings, that kind of thing. The I.R.A. stockpiles because they have a long ingrained love affair with guns almost as intense as that of the American right, although theirs is based on the long-standing British policy of prohibiting all Irish people from carrying weapons of any kind. They tend to overdo it for psychological reasons. But the Provos never have more than about FIFTY PEOPLE on "active service" at any given time in the North and maybe a dozen or so on mainland Britain and in Europe; their ratios of talkers to doers is almost as bad as ours, although at least they do have a few fighters. They always have far more guns than they have men willing to pull the trigger.

Weapons of war in a truly insurrectionary movement do not belong in stockpiles, they belong in the hands of revolutionaries so the revolutionaries can use them and will not be caught unarmed. A serious guerrilla team parcels out the weapons and makes each man responsible for his own armament.

Leave explosives alone unless you really---REALLY---know what the hell you're doing with them. The first explosives to start your people off with are hand grenades, not big huge truck bombs or strange concoctions your science nerd whips up in his basement. (Oklahoma City doesn't count; it is an exception to all rules until we know exactly who was responsible and why, which we probably never will.) Grenades are almost idiot-proof (which recommends them highly to American racial nationalists); properly used, grenades can be a devastating weapon of urban guerrilla warfare. Don't fool around with anything homemade.

**4. YOU DO NOT NEED FULLY AUTOMATIC WEAPONS.** Do not buy them. Do not stockpile them. Expel from your group immediately anyone who offers to procure them for you: he is a police agent. Unless you are properly trained in their use, machine guns are more dangerous to you than they are to the enemy. Machine guns are not toys with which you may play John Wayne on the Sands of Iwo Jima; I once saw a stupid nigger at Fort Jackson come very short because he'd watched too many movies and thought his M-60 was a toy. Given the mentality of many of our "freedom fighters", the urge for them to play with automatic weapons if you have them will be too great to resist.



Automatic weapons have two specific uses in military tactics. One is for the defense of established positions. The other is as part of a highly-trained and properly led fire team, for use in fire-and-maneuver assaults. You are not going to be engaging in Rambo-like shoot-outs with police and troops --- at least not more than once. A large part of your guerrilla tactics will consist of striking at the enemy while AVOIDING such entrapments. You do not have that kind of skill and training level. (No, you don't.) You can accomplish anything you need to accomplish to attain the initial objectives of an insurrectionary movement with other weapons.

What weapons? Friends, the most devastating personal weapon for hand to hand combat ever invented is the lowly SHOTGUN, sawed off as short as possible. When you start accumulating your initial weapons stocks, buy shotguns and handguns, a FEW good rifles with high-powered scopes and a FEW good semi-autos. (SKSes are junk; avoid them, but most any other semi-auto long gun will serve). Buy these weapons LEGALLY and store them safely, but do not stockpile in barns or anything that hints at illegal intentions. Do not flourish them, display them, or let anyone know you have them. Do NOT buy guns in excessive quantity, and do not saw off your shotguns below the legal limit until the legal line has been breached and you're going to jail anyway.

**5. THE MEDIA AND THE POLICE SHOULD NOT EVEN KNOW THAT YOU EXIST.** No press conferences, no press releases, no camera crews, no interviews, nothing that would tip your hand. When the time for direct action comes, the media are to be considered legitimate military targets. They are not our friends, not under any circumstances. Do not try to "use" them; they will use you.

Incredibly, the vast majority of American White "revolutionary" groups do not practice the most basic, rudimentary security precaution of all, KEEPING THEIR MEMBERSHIP CONCEALED FROM THE ENEMY. The WORST possible catastrophe a fledgling guerrilla group can have is for one or more of its members to be identified by the government forces.

**6. DO NOT WEAR UNIFORMS OF ANY KIND.** If you do not understand why this is an absolute necessity, then do not attempt any kind of insurrectionary activity. You don't have sufficient intelligence to so do successfully.

**7. NEVER DEFEND---ATTACK!** The basic "strategy" of most militia groups, insofar as they have any (which isn't very far) is based on static defense of their communities. Against city niggers or outlaws in a time of total social breakdown, that may be a feasible goal. Against the Federal government --- the most likely attacker of any White community --- this outlook is absurd and suicidal. (Again, this assumes with a BIG suspension of disbelief that the present "militias" would resist at all instead of throwing down their guns and blubbering to the D.A. for a plea bargain.) Never, never, NEVER allow yourself to be pinned down in a "compound" of any kind. You are facing the most overwhelming concentration of military and police power in human history. To be surrounded is the end. Period.

**8. DO NOT ROB BANKS or commit other criminal fund-raising acts (like writing Freeman-style bad checks) until you have already established your revolutionary bona fides by several very high-profile attacks against the racial enemy.** This appears to be what happened to the so-called "Aryan Revolutionary Army"; they wanted to be the Order but so far as is known never struck a blow at the enemy other than to rob banks containing the hard-earned money of White people which was insured by the FDIC. This is the worst possible publicity they could have received; the public in the Northwest now views Christian Identity people as criminals and bank robbers. Thanks a lot, guys.

How do you raise your initial funds? I'll tell you how, and I'm not joking. SELL THE DAMNED COMPOUND! Use the money to buy TRANSPORT, vans, RVs, trucks, vehicles which can transport men and weapons and supplies for small fire teams who will MOVE and STRIKE and then escape and evade, then strike again, etc. You do not need land or anything else which may lead

to your getting surrounded. Land is useless to you. Either you will (most likely) die and not need it, or you will win and you can then appropriate all the land you want.

**9. ESTABLISH AN ACHIEVABLE POLITICAL GOAL BEFORE YOU BEGIN.** You are supposed to be guerrillas, not Natural Born Killers on some kind of pointless bloodbath spree. Violence is a MEANS to an END, not an end in itself. One of the reasons the I.R.A. has failed is they've been taken over by mate-mad psychos who have either forgotten this or never knew it.

**10. THE BEST IDEA YET--FORGET THE WHOLE THING.** We have completely wasted the past thirty years, comrades. That is a fact. Some of this wastage was due to sincere and hopeful expeditions down some dead ends which, in retrospect, were pretty obvious. The Duck Club and electoral politics are two examples which spring to mind. We have also been plagued with a series of self-appointed leaders who have been corrupt, incompetent, and dishonest, and we are still plagued with some of these holdovers from the past. You can yell and scream and moan and spread rumors that I'm a government agent all you want, but that's a fact as well.

Had we not wasted those thirty years, it is possible that we might be in a position to engage in an armed insurrection against ZOG. We are not, and anyone who tells you otherwise is either a fool or a REAL police agent. I am telling you to get your heads together and learn. I am not asking you to die for your race. I am asking you to LIVE for it, and more difficult, I am asking you to WORK for it.

I know this is a message many don't want to hear.

.

-- "[Himmler](#)"

*"This destiny does not tire, nor can it be broken, and its mantle of strength descends upon those in its service."*

-- F. P. Yockey, **IMPERIUM**

.

.

Back to [Patrick Henry On-Line](#)

Back to [Modern Militiaman #11, April 19, 1999](#)

Back to [The Thought 4 The Day](#).

.